

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                       | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/601,597  | 06/24/2003     | Young Ho Park        | 2336-181            | 1487             |
| 7:  | 590 12/07/2004 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| LOWE HAUPTMAN GOPSTEIN GILMAN & BERNER, LLP Suite 310 |                |                      | LEWIS, MONICA       |                  |
| 1700 Diagonal   | Road           |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
| Alexandria, V   |                |                      | 2822                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |             |
|---|--|--|-------------|
|   | 10/601,597   | PARK ET AL.  |             |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |             |
|   | Monica Lewis   | 2822   |             |
| The MAILING DATE of this commu<br>Period for Reply  | nication appears on the cover si   | neet with the correspondence ad  | ldress      |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisior after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum s  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for rep Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | NICATION.  ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however  nmunication.  (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimus  statutory period will apply and will expire SIX  ly will, by statute, cause the application to be | may a reply be timely filed  on of thirty (30) days will be considered timely  (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this concerned the concerned that the concerned th |             |
| Status  |  |  |             |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) fi   | led on 27 September 2004.  |  |             |
| 2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |  |             |
| 3) Since this application is in condition   | , —  | al matters, prosecution as to the  | e merits is |
| closed in accordance with the prac  |  |  |             |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |  |             |
| <ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 is/ar</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>   | • •  | ·<br>I.  |             |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restri   | ction and/or election requireme  | ent.   |             |
| Application Papers  |  |  |             |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the  |  | 7  |             |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 June 200</u>   |  |  |             |
| Applicant may not request that any obju   |  | •  |             |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to   | -  | * . , *  | ` '         |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |             |
|   | y documents have been receive<br>y documents have been receive<br>s of the priority documents have<br>onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)  | ed. ed in Application No e been received in this National ).   | Stage       |
| * See the attached detailed Office action   | on for a list of the certified copie   | es not received.   |             |
| Attachment(s)   |  |  |             |
| 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) 🔲 Inte  | erview Summary (PTO-413)   |             |
| 2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (   | PTO-948) Par   | per No(s)/Mail Date´.<br>tice of Informal Patent Application (PTC  | 1.152\      |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Paper No(s)/Mail Date   |  | er:  | r-102j      |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to the election filed September 27, 2004.

### Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner has failed to demonstrate why the product made...can be regarded as being materially different from the claimed product. This is not found persuasive because searching in a separate area for method (Class 438) and another area for apparatus (Class 257) for two inventions does constitute an undue burden upon the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,665,986) in view of Keizo et al. (Japanese Patent No. 2001-339100).

In regards to claim 1, Miura et al. ("Miura") discloses the following:

- a) a first conductive GaN clad layer (5) with an upper surface provided with a first contact (6) formed thereon (For Example: See Figure 1);
- b) an active layer (4) formed on a lower surface of the first conductive GaN clad layer (For Example: See Figure 1);
- c) a second conductive GaN clad layer (3) formed on a lower surface of the active layer (For Example: See Figure 1);

Application/Control Number: 10/601,597

Art Unit: 2822

d) a conductive substrate (1) with a lower surface provided with a second contact (7) formed thereon, formed on a lower surface of the conductive adhesive layer (For Example: See Figure 1).

In regards to claim 1, Miura fails to disclose the following:

a) a conductive adhesive layer

However, Keizo et al. ("Keizo") discloses the use of a conductive adhesive layer (3) (For Example: See Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Miura to include the use of a conductive adhesive layer as disclosed in Keizo because it aids in providing good optical effectiveness (For Example: See Paragraph 9).

Additionally, since Miura and Keizo are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Keizo would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Miura.

In regards to claim 2, Miura fails to disclose the following:

a) a reflective layer made of a conductive material and formed between the second clad layer and the conductive adhesive layer.

However, Keizo discloses the use of a reflective layer (32) made of a conductive material and formed between the clad layer (41) and the conductive adhesive layer (32) (For Example: See Figure 8). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Miura to include the use of reflective layer made of a conductive material and formed between the second clad layer and the conductive adhesive layer as disclosed in Keizo because it aids in providing good optical effectiveness (For Example: See Paragraph 9).

Additionally, since Miura and Keizo are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Keizo would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Miura.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,597

Art Unit: 2822

In regards to claim 3, Miura fails to disclose the following:

a) the reflective layer is made of a material selected from the group consisting of Au, Ni, Ag, A1 and their alloys.

However, Keizo discloses the use of a reflective layer made of a material selected from the group consisting of Au, Ni, Ag, A1 and their alloys (For Example: See Figure 8 and Figure 9). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Miura to include the use of reflective layer made of a material selected from the group consisting of Au, Ni, Ag, A1 and their alloys as disclosed in Keizo because it aids in providing good optical effectiveness (For Example: See Paragraph 9).

Additionally, since Miura and Keizo are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Keizo would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Miura.

In regards to claim 4, Miura discloses the following:

a) the conductive substrate is made of a material selected from the group consisting of silicon (Si), germanium (Ge) and GaAs (For Example: See Abstract).

In regards to claim 6, Miura discloses the following:

- a) the first conductive GaN clad layer is a GaN crystalline layer doped with an n-type impurity, and the second conductive GaN clad layer is a GaN crystalline layer doped with a p-type impurity (For Example: Column 6 Lines 22-37).
- 5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miura et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,665,986) in view of Keizo et al. (Japanese Patent No. 2001-339100).

In regards to claim 5, Miura fails to disclose the following:

a) the conductive adhesive layer is made of a material selected from the group consisting of Au-Sn, Sn, In, Au-Ag and Pb-Sn.

Art Unit: 2822

However, Ishida discloses the use of a conductive adhesive layer made of Pb-Sn (For Example: See Paragraph 38). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Miura to include the use of a conductive adhesive layer made of Pb-Sn as disclosed in Ishida because it aids in providing electrical connection (For Example: See Paragraph 40).

Additionally, since Miura and Ishida are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Ishida would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Miura.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 571-272-1838.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 571-272-1852. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722 for regular and after final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956

ML

December 1, 2004

Mary Wilczewski Primary Examiner

···onnameniminiminiminiminimini